Hoch

PH- If you do get New York Mag out there please let me know. Otherwise as I get them I'll be sending you copies of the Epsteink, several more of which are promised. I presume you'll get the Digest. I don't ordinarily but in this case I think I'll have to, so don't bother sending me copies of them.

This morning I read the NYMag stuff. I think it will be helpful to JL in at the least the ex sess appeal and later remand, which I think it can help assure. In fact I think it can be important in that and can give the appeals panel an out if it wishes to avoid facing more basic questions and issues.

Prior to seeing anything on, from or about the book I reached certain opinions about it. Having read the NYMag stuff I have a partial evaluation of my earlier analysis of which JL and Jerry P know. It is partly because I began with some pretty firm expectations and also because I am parti pris that I would appreciate independent interpretations, opinions, etc., and identifications of what may be useful in the suit(s).

If I've not told you the government claimed national defense, b3,b5 b7c and maybe other exemptions in denying the transcripts. Also that if we knew what the WC said about Nosenko the KGB would off him so everything about him had to be kept secret. Protect source, methods, installastions, etc. The schmeer. So anything you spot, whether or not you think I will, that you think Jim can use to rebut and make a more vigorous attack on these false claims with can be of great value before this is all over. If you do not have time for that copies of anything you may write about this would be useful. Thanks if you can,